

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,981	10/12/2001	Klaus Gottschall		6378
75	90 11/19/2003	•	EXAM	INER
Stephen D. Scanlon			LIPMAN, BERNARD	
Jones Day 901 Lakeside A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH 44114			1713	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/10/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		ahls			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/856,981	GOTTSCHALL, KLAUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Lipman	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinology within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.5	September 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	٢			
Application Papers	·	·			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	xammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form P1,0-152.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	en priority under 35 H.C.C. \$ 440/a	.) (-1) (5)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second se	ats have been received. Ats have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). At of the certified copies not received tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (arst sentence of the specification or revisional application has been received in the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Serial No. 09/856,981

Art Unit 1713

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stavrianopoulos, U.S. Patent No. 4,843,122.

Claims are rejected for reasons of record as applied to the previously submitted claims. Applicant has argued that the requirement for homogeneous phase now inserted into the claims is not taught by the reference. This argument has been considered

Serial No. 09/856,981

Art Unit 1713

but not found persuasive insofar as the Examples specifically include reaction in solutions which are, therefore, homogeneous as to their nature. Furthermore, choice of medium for a reaction is obvious to select for one of ordinary skill in the art from among the few known which include reactions taking place in two phases or more and reactions in homogeneous systems. homogeneous systems are more prevalent and choice of such would be at least prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the The claims, therefore, continue to be either anticipated or rendered prima facie obvious from the reference to Stavrianopoulos. The specific choice of "activating reagent" is either anticipated by the reference or, for the specific examples recited in claim 24, at least prima facie obvious insofar as a variant of that structure is in fact used by the reference and would, at the very least, read on a "derivative" of that activating agent. The rejection made in the prior Office action, therefore, is herein repeated and made FINAL.

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of

Art Unit 1713

a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Munual Lyman
Bernard Lipman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1713

BL:cdc

November 13, 2003